

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,720	06/29/2001	Wen-Yuan Song	5853-173	8728
7590 11/19/2003			EXAMINER	
Stanley A. Kim Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson, P.A. 222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 400 P.O. Box 3188			KRUSF, DAVID H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/896,720	SONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David H Kruse	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app P ri d for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dividing apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON.	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
·						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,19 and 20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-7,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/896,720

Art Unit: 1638

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- This Office action is in response to the Amendment and Remarks filed 14 July
   2003.
- 2. The formal drawings were received on 14 July 2003. These drawings are acceptable.
- 3. Those rejections not specifically addressed in this Office action are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments and/or arguments.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1, 4-7, 19 and 20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This rejection is repeated for the reason of record as set forth in the last Office action mailed 14 January 2003. Applicant's arguments filed 14 July 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claims have been amended to remove recitation of percent sequence identity and hybridization conditions and are believed to meet the requirement of 35 USC 112 (page 8 of the Remarks). This argument is not found to be persuasive specifically because the instant claims are now only described by function

Application/Control Number: 09/896,720

Art Unit: 1638

and thus do not adequately describe the claimed invention as directed to a purified nucleic acid that encodes an XB3 protein.

See *University of California V. Eli Lilly and Co.*, 43 USPQ2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997), which teaches that the disclosure of a process for obtaining cDNA from a particular organism and the description of the encoded protein fail to provide an adequate written description of the actual cDNA from that organism which would encode the protein from that organism, despite the disclosure of a cDNA encoding that protein from another organism. At 1406, the court states that a description of a genus of cDNAs may be achieved by means of a recitation of a representative number of cDNAs, defined by nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the genus or of a recitation of structural features common to the members of the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus. In the instant case Applicant only describe a purified nucleic acid that encodes the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 that has the function of an XB3 protein, and does not adequately describe a genus of XB3 proteins as broadly claimed.

6. Claims 1, 4-7, 19 and 20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a purified nucleic acid that encodes the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 that has the function of an XB3 protein, compositions comprising said purified nucleic acid and methods of using said purified nucleic acid to modify a plant, does not reasonably provide enablement for an isolated nucleic acid encoding any XB3 protein. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make

Application/Control Number: 09/896,720

Art Unit: 1638

and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. This rejection is repeated for the reason of record as set forth in the last Office action mailed 14 January 2003. Applicant's arguments filed 14 July 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the presently amended claims remove recitation of percent sequence identity and hybridization conditions and that claims 19 and 20 have been amended to remove any modulating disease resistance requirement (page 9 of the Remarks). This argument is not found to be persuasive for the reasons give above. Applicant only teaches how to make and use a purified nucleic acid encoding the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 that has the function of an XB3 protein. Applicant does not teach other XB3 protein encoding nucleic acids, nor does Applicant teach how to make and use other isolated nucleic acids encoding an XB3 protein without undue trial and error experimentation as outlined in the previous Office action.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/896,720 Page 5

Art Unit: 1638

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Claims 1, 4-7, 19 and 20 remain rejected.

10. No claims are allowed.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Kruse, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Amy Nelson can be reached at (703) 306-3218. The fax telephone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306 Before Final or (703) 872-9307 After Final.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

AMY J. NELSON, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

David H. Kruse, Ph.D. 5 November 2003